arXiv:1406.3389v2 [math.NT] 2 Oct 2014

RAMIFICATION OF COMPATIBLE SYSTEMS ON CURVES AND INDEPENDENCE OF ℓ

CHRIS HALL

Abstract. We show that certain ramification invariants associated to a compatible system of ℓ-adic sheaves on a curve are independent of ℓ.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_p$ be a finite extension and $C/\mathbb{F}_q$ be a smooth projective geometrically-connected curve. Let $Z \subset C$ be a finite subset with at least two closed points and $U = C \smallsetminus Z$ be the open complement.

Let $E/\mathbb{Q}$ be a number field and Λ be a non-empty set of non-archimedean primes λ in E not over p. Let $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$ be a lisse sheaf on U of E_λ-modules, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, such that $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda = \{\mathcal{F}_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ is (E,Λ)-compatible. Let $\chi(U \otimes \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q, \mathcal{F}_\lambda)$ be the Euler characteristic.

Proposition 1.1. *$\chi(U \otimes \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q, \mathcal{F}_\lambda)$ and $\mathrm{rank}_{E_\lambda}(\mathcal{F}_\lambda)$ are independent of λ.*

The first is the degree of the L-function of $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$ and the second is the degree of an Euler factor. Both are independent of $\lambda \in \Lambda$ since $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ is (E,Λ)-compatible.

Let $j : U \to C$ be the natural inclusion and $z \in Z$. Let $\bar{z} \to z$ be a geometric point, $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\bar{z}}$ be the E_λ-module $(j_*\mathcal{F}_\lambda)_{\bar{z}}$, and $\mathrm{rank}_z(\mathcal{F}_\lambda) = \mathrm{rank}_{E_\lambda}(\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\bar{z}})$. Let $\mathrm{swan}_z(\mathcal{F}_\lambda)$ be the Swan conductor of $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$ at z and

$$\mathrm{drop}_z(\mathcal{F}_\lambda) = \mathrm{rank}_{E_\lambda}(\mathcal{F}_\lambda) - \mathrm{rank}_z(\mathcal{F}_\lambda), \quad \mathrm{totdrop}_z(\mathcal{F}_\lambda) = \mathrm{drop}_z(\mathcal{F}_\lambda) + \mathrm{swan}_z(\mathcal{F}_\lambda).$$

The Euler-Poincare formula asserts

$$\sum_{z\in Z} \deg(z) \cdot \mathrm{totdrop}_z(\mathcal{F}_\lambda) = \mathrm{rank}_{E_\lambda}(\mathcal{F}_\lambda) \cdot \chi(U, E_\lambda) - \chi(U, \mathcal{F}_\lambda). \tag{1}$$

Proposition 1.1 implies the right side is independent of λ.

Theorem 1.2. *$\mathrm{rank}_z(\mathcal{F}_\lambda)$, $\mathrm{swan}_z(\mathcal{F}_\lambda)$, $\mathrm{drop}_z(\mathcal{F}_\lambda)$, and $\mathrm{totdrop}_z(\mathcal{F}_\lambda)$ are independent of λ.*

See section 7 for a proof and section 8 for an application.

Corollary 1.3. *The truth of each of the following assertions is independent of λ:*

1. *$\mathcal{F}_\lambda$ has local tame monodromy about z;*
2. *$\mathcal{F}_\lambda$ has local unipotent monodromy about z;*
3. *$\mathcal{F}_\lambda$ has local trivial monodromy about z.*

Indeed, 1 (resp. 3) holds if and only if $\mathrm{swan}_z(\mathcal{F}_\lambda) = 0$ (resp. $\mathrm{drop}_z(\mathcal{F}_\lambda) = 0$). See lemma 6.2 for 2.

Date: October 3, 2014.

This paper was completed while the author was a von Neumann fellow at the IAS.

1.1. **Acknowledgements.** We gratefully acknowledge Nick Katz for explaining how to prove theorem 1.2 and for comments on early drafts.

2. Notation

Given a sheaf $\mathcal{F} \to U$, let $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{z}}$ denote $(j_*\mathcal{F})_{\bar{z}}$ so that $\mathrm{rank}_z(\mathcal{F}_\lambda) = \mathrm{rank}_{E_\lambda}(\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\bar{z}})$. Let $\bar{u}$ and $\bar{v}$ be respective geometric generic points of U and $\mathbb{G}_m = \mathbb{P}^1 \smallsetminus \{0, \infty\}$. Let $\pi_1(U) = \pi_1(U, \bar{u})$ and $\pi_1(\mathbb{G}_m) = \pi_1(\mathbb{G}_m, \bar{v})$ be the étale fundamental groups. Given any morphism $f : U \to \mathbb{G}_m$, suppose $f(\bar{u}) = \bar{v}$ so that $f_* : \pi_1(U) \to \pi_1(\mathbb{G}_m)$ is defined. Let $I(z) \subseteq \pi_1(U)$ and $I(0) \subseteq \pi_1(\mathbb{G}_m)$ be respective inertia groups for $z \in C$ and $0 \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and $P(z) \subseteq I(z)$ be the p-Sylow subgroup.

3. Overview

After making some simplifying reductions, we build an (E, Λ)-compatible system $\mathcal{T}_{\Lambda,\chi,s}$ of lisse E_λ-sheaves $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda,\chi,s}$ and consider the (E, Λ)-compatible system of twisted sheaves $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\chi,s} = \mathcal{F}_\lambda \otimes_{E_\lambda} \mathcal{T}_{\lambda,\chi,s}$. By judiciously choosing $\mathcal{T}_{\Lambda,\chi,s}$ we isolate the terms $\mathrm{totdrop}_z(\mathcal{F}_\lambda)$ and $\mathrm{swan}_z(\mathcal{F}_\lambda)$ and show they are independent of λ. We apply theorem 1.2 in section 8 to prove an equivariant version of [1, app.].

4. Reductions and Data

Let E'/E be a finite extension and Λ' be the primes λ' of E' lying over primes in Λ.

Lemma 4.1. *Theorem 1.2 holds if and only if it holds after any of the following operations:*

(1) replace $\mathbb{F}_q$ by $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$;

(2) replace (E, Λ) by (E', Λ');

(3) replace U by a dense open subset U'.

Moreover, to prove theorem 1.2, it suffices to prove it for every finite subset $\Lambda'' \subseteq \Lambda$.

Proof. The quantities addressed in theorem 1.2 do not change if we replace $\mathbb{F}_q$ by $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$ or E_λ by a finite extension $E'_{\lambda'}$, so 1 and 2 hold. They also do not change if we replace U by U', and if $z' \in U \smallsetminus U$, then

$$\mathrm{rank}_{z'}(\mathcal{F}_\lambda) = \mathrm{rank}_{E_\lambda}(\mathcal{F}_\lambda), \quad \mathrm{swan}_{z'}(\mathcal{F}_\lambda) = \mathrm{drop}_{z'}(\mathcal{F}_\lambda) = \mathrm{totdrop}_{z'}(\mathcal{F}_\lambda) = 0,$$

so 3 holds. The final assertion is clear. □

Replace $\mathbb{F}_q$ by $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$ and Λ by a finite subset Λ''. Fix the following data:

(1) $z \in Z$ and $Y = Z \smallsetminus \{z\}$;

(2) a 'Jordan-Holder decomposition' of $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\bar{u}}^{P(z)}$ as a tame $E_\lambda[I(z)]$-module (cf. [3]);

(3) the finitely many characters $\chi_{\lambda,1}, \chi_{\lambda,2}, \ldots$ which occur in 2;

(4) the order $n_{\lambda,i} \in \mathbb{N}$ of $\chi_{\lambda,i}$;

(5) $n \in \mathbb{N}$ coprime to p and satisfying $n_{\lambda,z,i} \mid n$ and $n_{\lambda,z,i} < n$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and all i;

(6) a homomorphism $\chi : I(z) \to \boldsymbol{\mu}_n$;

(7) $s \in \mathbb{N}$ coprime to p and exceeding both $\frac{2 \cdot \mathrm{genus}(C) - 1}{\deg(Y)}$ and $\max_{y \in Y,\, \lambda \in \Lambda}(\mathrm{swan}_y(\mathcal{F}_\lambda))$;

(8) embeddings $\boldsymbol{\mu}_n \subset \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{np} \subset E$, extending E if necessary.

We observe that n is coprime to p and that n, s need not change if we shrink U since $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$ is lisse on U.

5. Constructions

We construct functions $f : C \to \mathbb{P}^1$ so that we can construct particular Kummer and Artin-Schreier sheaves on U.

Lemma 5.1. *There exists a function $f : C \to \mathbb{P}^1$ with polar divisor sY and a simple zero at z.*

Proof. Let $L(D)$ be the Riemann-Roch space of the divisor $D = sY - z$ on C and $l(D)$ be its dimension over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$. If $x \in Z$, then $l(D - x) = l(D) - 1$ by the Riemann-Roch theorem since $\deg(D-x) = \deg(sY)-1 \geq 2\cdot\text{genus}(C)-2$. Therefore the complement $L(D) \smallsetminus (\cup_x L(D-x))$ is non-empty and consists of the functions f with the desired properties. □

Let $f_1 : C \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be a function as in lemma 5.1. Shrink U by removing $f_1^{-1}(0)$ so that f_1 restricts to a morphism $f_1 : U \to \mathbb{G}_m$.

Lemma 5.2.

(1) Every homomorphism $\chi_0 : I(0) \to \boldsymbol{\mu}_n$ extends to a homomorphism $\pi_1(\mathbb{G}_m) \to \boldsymbol{\mu}_n$.

(2) Every homomorphism $\chi : I(z) \to \boldsymbol{\mu}_n$ extends to a homomorphism $\pi_1(U) \to \boldsymbol{\mu}_n$.

Proof. Let $[n] : \mathbb{G}_m \to \mathbb{G}_m$ be the nth-power map and $\rho : \pi_1(\mathbb{G}_m) \to \boldsymbol{\mu}_n$ be the corresponding quotient. The restriction $\rho : I(0) \to \boldsymbol{\mu}_n$ is surjective. Hence $\chi_0 = \rho^a$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}/n$ and $\rho^a : \pi_1(\mathbb{G}_m) \to \boldsymbol{\mu}_n$ extends χ_0, so 1 holds. The functorial homomorphism $\pi_1(U) \to \pi_1(\mathbb{G}_m)$ induces an isomorphism $\imath : I(z) \to I(0)$ since f_1 has a simple zero at z. The homomorphism $\chi_0 = \chi \circ \imath^{-1}$ extends to $\pi_1(\mathbb{G}_m) \to \boldsymbol{\mu}_n$ by 1, and the composition $\pi_1(U) \to \pi_1(\mathbb{G}_m) \to \boldsymbol{\mu}_n$ is a homomorphism extending χ, so 2 holds. □

Recall the morphism χ fixed in section 4. Let $\mathcal{K}_{\lambda,\chi}$ be the Kummer E_λ-sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,\chi(f_1)}$ on U corresponding to an extension of χ to $\pi_1(U)$ as in lemma 8.4.2.

Lemma 5.3. *There exists a rank-one (E, Λ)-compatible system of lisse E_λ-sheaves $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,s}$ on U satisfying:*

(1) $j_*\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,s}$ is lisse over $U \cup \{z\}$ and thus $\text{drop}_z(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,s}) = 0$;

(2) if $y \in Y$, then $\text{swan}_y(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,s}) = s$ and thus is independent of λ.

Proof. Let $f_2 : C \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be a function as in lemma 5.1. It need not equal f_1 since we shrunk U. There exists an (E, Λ)-compatible system of rank-one lisse E_λ-sheaves $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ on $\mathbb{A}^1$ satisfying $\text{swan}_\infty(\mathcal{L}_\lambda) = 1$: $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ is the Artin-Schreier E_λ-sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{\psi(x)}$. Moreover, the pullbacks $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,s} = f_2^*\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ have the desired properties since $p \nmid s$; $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,s}$ is the Artin-Schreier E_λ-sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{\psi(f_2)}$. Compare [1, pg. 217]. □

Let $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda,\chi,s} = \mathcal{K}_{\lambda,\chi} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\lambda,s}$.

Proposition 5.4. *The sheaves $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda,\chi,s}$ on U form an (E, Λ)-compatible system satisfying:*

(1) $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda,\chi,s}$ is lisse on U of rank one;

(2) $j_*\mathcal{T}_{\lambda,\chi,s}$ is tame over z with monodromy χ;

(3) $\mathrm{swan}_y(\mathcal{T}_{\lambda,\chi,s}) = s$ *for every* $y \in Y$.

Proof. By construction. □

6. Twists

Let $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\chi,s} = \mathcal{F}_\lambda \otimes_{E_\lambda} \mathcal{T}_{\lambda,\chi,s}$ be the twist of $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$ by $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda,\chi,s}$.

Lemma 6.1. *The following hold for all* $\lambda \in \Lambda$ *and* $y \in Y$*:*

(1) $\mathrm{rank}_z(\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\chi,s}) > 0$ *if and only if* $\chi = \chi_{\lambda,i}^{-1}$ *for some* i*;*

(2) $\mathrm{swan}_z(\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\chi,s}) = \mathrm{swan}_z(\mathcal{F}_\lambda)$*;*

(3) $\mathrm{rank}_y(\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\chi,s}) = 0$ *and* $\mathrm{swan}_y(\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\chi,s}) = s \cdot \mathrm{rank}_{E_\lambda}(\mathcal{F}_\lambda)$.

Proof. The dimension of $(F_\lambda \otimes_{E_\lambda} T_{\lambda,\chi,s})^{I(z)} = (\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\bar{u}}^{P(z)} \otimes_{E_\lambda} \mathcal{T}_{\lambda,\chi,s,\bar{u}})^{I(z)}$ equals $\mathrm{rank}_z(\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\chi,s})$. The former is non-zero if and only if $\chi_i \cdot \chi = 1$ for some i, so 1 holds. The sheaf $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda,\chi,s}$ is tame at z, thus $\mathrm{swan}_z(\mathcal{T}_{\lambda,\chi,s}) = 0$ and 2 holds. See [1, pg. 217] for 3. □

The following lemma completes the proof of corollary 1.3:

Lemma 6.2. *The following are equivalent:*

(1) $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$ *has unipotent monodromy about* z*;*

(2) $\mathrm{swan}_z(\mathcal{F}_\lambda) = 0$ *and* $\mathrm{rank}_z(\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\chi,s}) = 0$ *whenever* χ *is non-trivial.*

Proof. 1 holds if and only if $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\bar{u}}^{P(z)} = \mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\bar{u}}$ and $\chi_i = 1$ for every i. The condition $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\bar{u}}^{P(z)} = \mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\bar{u}}$ is equivalent to the condition $\mathrm{swan}_z(\mathcal{F}_\lambda) = 0$. Lemma 6.1.2 implies the condition $\chi_i = 1$ for every i corresponds to the condition $\mathrm{rank}_z(\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\chi,s}) = 0$ whenever χ is non-trivial. □

7. Proof of Theorem 1.2

The Euler-Poincare formula (cf. (1)) may be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{totdrop}_z(\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\chi,s}) \\ = \ \mathrm{rank}_{E_\lambda}(\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\chi,s}) \cdot \chi(U, E_\lambda) - \chi(U, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\chi,s}) - \textstyle\sum_{y\in Y} \mathrm{rank}_{E_\lambda}(\mathcal{F}_\lambda) \cdot \mathrm{swan}_y(\mathcal{T}_{\lambda,\chi,s}).\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 1.1 and lemma 6.1.3 imply the right is independent of λ, and thus so is the left. On one hand, if χ is trivial, then

$$\mathrm{totdrop}_z(\mathcal{F}_\lambda) = \mathrm{totdrop}_z(\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\chi,s}).$$

On the other hand, if χ is surjective, then $\chi \neq \chi_{\lambda,i}^{-1}$ for any λ, i since $n_{\lambda,i} < n$, and thus lemma 6.1.1 implies $\mathrm{rank}_z(\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\chi,s}) = 0$. Moreover, lemma 6.1.2 implies

$$\mathrm{swan}_z(\mathcal{F}_\lambda) = \mathrm{swan}_z(\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\chi,s}) = \mathrm{swan}_z(\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\chi,s}) + \mathrm{rank}_z(\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\chi,s}) = \mathrm{totdrop}_z(\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\chi,s}).$$

Therefore $\mathrm{totdrop}_z(\mathcal{F}_\lambda)$ and $\mathrm{swan}_z(\mathcal{F}_\lambda)$ are independent of λ.

8. $(E[G],\Lambda)$-compatible Sytems

Let G be a finite group. Suppose that each $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$ is constructible (not necessarily lisse) sheaf on U of $E_\lambda[G]$-modules and that the geometric point $\bar{u} \to U$ lies over a closed point $u \in U$. Let $\mathrm{Fr}_u \in \pi_1(U)$ be a Frobenius element and $\phi \mapsto \mathrm{tr}(\phi \mid \mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\bar{u}})$ be the trace function $\mathrm{End}_{E_\lambda}(\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\bar{u}}) \to E_\lambda$. We say that $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ is $(E[G],\Lambda)$-*compatible* (resp. *weakly* $(E[G],\Lambda)$-*compatible*) if $\mathrm{tr}(g \cdot \mathrm{Fr}_u^m \mid \mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\bar{u}})$ is independent of λ for every $\bar{u}, u$, every $m \geq 0$ (resp. $m = 0$), and every $g \in G$.

Theorem 8.1. *Suppose that $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ is weakly $(E[G],\Lambda)$-compatible and that every $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$ is lisse.*

(1) $j_*\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ is weakly $(E[G],\Lambda)$-compatible.

(2) If $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ is $(E[G],\Lambda)$-compatible and pure of weight w, then $j_*\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ is $(E[G],\Lambda)$-compatible.

If G is the trivial group, then theorem 8.1.2 is a theorem in [1, app.]:

Theorem 8.2. *If $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ is (E,Λ)-compatible and pure of weight w, then $j_*\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ is (E,Λ)-compatible.*

The proof of theorem 8.1 will occupy the remainder of this section. It uses theorem 1.2.

Let $\mathcal{F}_\lambda^G \subseteq \mathcal{F}_\lambda$ be the $E_\lambda[G]$-subsheaf of G-invariants.

Lemma 8.3. *If $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ is $(E[G],\Lambda)$-compatible, then so is $\{\, \mathcal{F}_\lambda^G \,\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$.*

Proof. Let $\pi \in \mathrm{End}_{E_\lambda}(\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\bar{u}})$ be the idempotent $\frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{h\in G} h$. It is projection onto $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\bar{u}}^G$ and

$$\mathrm{tr}(g \cdot \mathrm{Fr}_u^m \mid \mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\bar{u}}^G) = \mathrm{tr}(g \cdot \mathrm{Fr}_u^m \cdot \pi \mid \mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\bar{u}}) = \tfrac{1}{|G|}\textstyle\sum_{h\in G} \mathrm{tr}(gh \cdot \mathrm{Fr}_u^m \mid \mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\bar{u}}).$$

In particular, the last term of the display is independent of λ if $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ is $(E[G],\Lambda)$-compatible, thus so is the first. □

Let M be a finite-dimensional $E[G]$-module and $M_\lambda \to U$ be the constant sheaf $M \otimes_E E_\lambda$.

Lemma 8.4. *If $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ is $(E[G],\Lambda)$-compatible, then so is $\{\, M_\lambda \otimes_{E_\lambda} \mathcal{F}_\lambda \,\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$.*

Proof. The right side of the identity

$$\mathrm{tr}(g \cdot \mathrm{Fr}_u^m \mid M_\lambda \otimes_{E_\lambda} \mathcal{F}_\lambda) = \mathrm{tr}(g \mid M_\lambda) \cdot \mathrm{tr}(g \cdot \mathrm{Fr}_u^m \mid \mathcal{F}_\lambda)$$

is independent of λ if FFL is $(E[G],\Lambda)$-compatible, thus so is the left. □

Let $\hat{M}$ be the E-dual of M as $E[G]$-module and $\mathcal{H}(M_\lambda,\mathcal{F}_\lambda) = (\hat{M}_\lambda \otimes_{E_\lambda} \mathcal{F}_\lambda)^G$.

Lemma 8.5. *Suppose $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ is $(E[G],\Lambda)$-compatible.*

(1) $\{\, \mathcal{H}(M_\lambda,\mathcal{F}_\lambda) \,\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ is $(E[G],\Lambda)$-compatible.

(2) If $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$ is pure of weight w, then so is $\mathcal{H}(M_\lambda,\mathcal{F}_\lambda)$.

Proof. Lemmas 8.3 and 8.4 imply (1). The sheaf $\hat{M}_\lambda$ is pure of weight 0. Therefore $\hat{M}_\lambda \otimes_{E_\lambda} \mathcal{F}_\lambda$ and the submodule $\mathcal{H}(M_\lambda,\mathcal{F}_\lambda)$ are pure of weight w, so (2) holds. □

Extend E so that every simple $E[G]$-module is absolutely simple.

Lemma 8.6. *If M is simple, then its multiplicity in $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\bar{z}}$ equals* $\mathrm{rank}_z(\mathcal{H}(M_\lambda,\mathcal{F}_\lambda))$.

Proof. We have the identities

$$\mathcal{H}(M_\lambda, \mathcal{F}_\lambda)_{\bar{z}} = ((\hat{M}_\lambda \otimes_{E_\lambda} \mathcal{F}_\lambda)^G)^{I(z)} = ((\hat{M}_\lambda \otimes_{E_\lambda} \mathcal{F}_\lambda)^{I(z)})^G = (\hat{M}_\lambda \otimes_{E_\lambda} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\bar{z}})^G$$

since the actions of G and $I(z)$ commute and $I(z)$ acts trivially on $\hat{M}_\lambda$. The last term equals $\mathrm{Hom}_{E_\lambda[G]}(M_\lambda, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\bar{z}})$, and its E_λ-dimension is the desired multiplicity since M is absolutely simple. □

Let $M_1, M_2, \ldots$ be the (isomorphism classes of) simple $E[G]$-modules and $\tau_i : G \to E$ be the character of M_i.

Lemma 8.7.

(1) The multiplicity m_i of $M_{i,\lambda} = M_i \otimes_E E_\lambda$ in $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\bar{z}}$ is independent of λ.

(2) $\mathrm{tr}(g \mid \mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\bar{z}}) = \sum_i m_i \cdot \tau_i(g)$ and thus is independent of λ.

Proof. Lemma 8.6 and theorem 1.2 imply $m_i = \mathrm{rank}_z(\mathcal{H}(M_{i,\lambda}, \mathcal{F}_\lambda))$ is independent of λ, so (1) holds. Moreover, $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\bar{z}} = \oplus_i M_{i,\lambda}^{\oplus m_i}$ by definition, so (2) holds. □

In particular, lemma 8.7.2 implies theorem 8.1.1.

Let $K \subseteq G$ be a conjugacy class and $\delta : G \to \{0, 1\}$ be its characteristic function.

Lemma 8.8. *There exist $a_1, a_2, \ldots \in E$ satisfying $\delta = \sum_i a_i \tau_i$.*

Proof. The τ_i form an E-basis of the space of characters $G \to E$, and δ lies in that space. □

Therefore, if $k \in K$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |K| \cdot \mathrm{tr}(k^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{Fr}_z^m \mid \mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\bar{z}}) &= \textstyle\sum_g \delta(g^{-1}) \cdot \mathrm{tr}(g \cdot \mathrm{Fr}_z^m \mid \mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\bar{z}}) \\ &= \textstyle\sum_{i,g} a_i \cdot \tau_i(g^{-1}) \cdot \mathrm{tr}(g \cdot \mathrm{Fr}_z^m \mid \mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\bar{z}}) \\ &= |G| \cdot \textstyle\sum_i a_i \cdot \mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{Fr}_z^m \mid \mathcal{H}(M_{i,\lambda}, \mathcal{F}_\lambda)_{\bar{z}}) \end{aligned}$$

Compare [2, pg. 171] for the last identity. In particular, lemma 8.5.2 and theorem 8.2 imply the last expression is independent of λ, hence theorem 8.1.2 holds.

References

[1] N.M. Katz, "Wild ramification and some problems of 'independence of ℓ' ", *Amer. J. Math.* 105 (1983), no. 1, 201–227.

[2] N.M. Katz, "Crystalline cohomology, Dieudonné modules, and Jacobi sums," *Automorphic forms, representation theory and arithmetic (Bombay, 1979)*, pp. 165–246, Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Studies in Math., 10, Tata Inst. Fundamental Res., Bombay, 1981.

[3] N.M. Katz, *Rigid Local Systems*, Annals of Mathematics Studies 139, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1996.

E-mail address: chall14@math.ias.edu